FILED

JUN 0 6 2014

APPOINTED BY THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

BEALS I Disciplinary Appeals	
annointed by the	
Supreme Court of Texas	

IN THE MATTER OF	§	
JOSE LUIS PALACIOS,	§	CAUSE NO.
STATE BAR CARD NO. 24043858	§	

PETITION FOR COMPULSORY DISCIPLINE

TO THE BOARD OF DISCIPLINARY APPEALS:

Petitioner, the Commission for Lawyer Discipline (hereinafter called "Petitioner"), brings this action against Respondent, Jose Luis Palacios, (hereinafter called "Respondent"), showing as follows:

- 1. This action is commenced by Petitioner pursuant to Part VIII of the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure. Petitioner is also providing Respondent a copy of this Board's procedures for handling a compulsory discipline matter by attaching a copy of such procedures to this petition.
- 2. Respondent, Jose Luis Palacios, may be served with a true and correct copy of this Petition for Compulsory Discipline, its attachments, as well as a notice of hearing, at Jose Palacios, #48380-379, FCI Three Rivers, US Hwy. 72 West, Three Rivers, Texas 78071.
- 3. On or about June 25, 2013, Respondent, was charged by Sealed Indictment (Exhibit 1) with Count One knowingly and intentionally conspiring to knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, U.S.C., §§ 846, 841(a)(1), and 841(b)(1)(B), and Count Fifteen knowingly and intentionally possessing with intent to distribute a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, U.S.C., §§ 841(a)(1), and 841(b)(1)(B) and

Title 18, U.S.C., § 2, in Case No. M-13-994, styled *United States of America v. (among others) Jose Palacios, Jr.*, in the United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, McAllen Division.

- 4. On or about August 20, 2013, Respondent, was charged by Superseding Indictment (Exhibit 2) with Count One knowingly and intentionally conspiring to knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, U.S.C., §§ 846, 841(a)(1), and 841(b)(1)(B), and Count Fifteen knowingly and intentionally possessing with intent to distribute a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, U.S.C., §§ 841(a)(1), and 841(b)(1)(B) and Title 18, U.S.C., § 2, in Case No. M-13-0994-S1, styled *United States of America v. (among others) Jose Palacios, Jr.*, in the United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, McAllen Division.
- 5. On or about October 1, 2013, a Notice of Plea Agreement (Exhibit 3) was entered in Case No. M-13-0994-S1-15, styled *United States of America v. Jose Palacios, Jr.*, in the United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, McAllen Division, wherein Respondent agreed to plead guilty to Count 15 of the Superseding Indictment.
- 6. On or about March 19, 2014, a Judgment in a Criminal Case (Exhibit 4) was entered in Case No. 7:13CR00994-S1-015, styled *United States of America v. Jose Palacios, Jr.*, in the United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, Holding Session in McAllen, wherein Respondent pled guilty to Count 15 Possession, with intent to distribute, 100 kilograms or more of marijuana and was committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 144 months and upon release from imprisonment to be on supervised release for a term of 5 years. Respondent was further ordered to pay an assessment in the amount of \$100.00. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all intents and purposes as if the same were copied verbatim herein, are true and correct certified copies of the following documents in the Palacios

criminal case: Indictment (Exhibit 1), Superseding Indictment (Exhibit 2), Notice of Plea Agreement (Exhibit 3) and Judgment in a Criminal Case (Exhibit 4). Petitioner expects to introduce certified copies of Exhibits 1 through 4 at the time of hearing of this cause.

- Respondent, Jose Luis Palacios, whose bar card number is 24043858, is the same person as the Jose Palacios, Jr., who is the subject of the Indictments, Notice of Plea Agreement and Judgment described above, true and correct certified copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibits 1 through 4.
- 8. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 and made a part hereof for all intents and purposes as if the same were copied verbatim herein is a true and correct copy of an affidavit of Rebecca (Beth) Stevens, Attorney of Record for Petitioner herein, attesting to the fact that Respondent is the same person as the person who is the subject of the Indictments, Notice of Plea Agreement and Judgment entered in the Palacios criminal case described above. Petitioner expects to introduce the original of said affidavit at the time of hearing of this cause.
- 9. The offense for which Respondent was convicted is an intentional crime as defined by Rule 1.06(T), Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure. It is as well a serious crime as defined by Rule 1.06(Z), Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure.
- 10. Having pled guilty to an intentional crime, and such judgment being final, Respondent should be disbarred as provided in Rule 8.05, Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Petitioner prays that Respondent be given notice of these proceedings as provided by law and, upon hearing of this matter, that the Board enter its order disbarring Respondent and for such other and further relief to which Petitioner may be entitled to receive including costs of court and attorney's fees.

Respectfully submitted,

Linda A. Acevedo Chief Disciplinary Counsel

Rebecca (Beth) Stevens

Assistant Disciplinary Counsel Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel STATE BAR OF TEXAS P.O. Box 12487, Capitol Station

Austin, Texas 78711-2487 Telephone: 512.427.1350 Facsimile: 512.427.4167

Email: Beth.Stevens@texasbar.com

Rebecca (Beth) Stevens

State Bar Card No. 24065381

ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONER

NOTICE OF HEARING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a trial on the merits of the Petition for Compulsory Discipline heretofore sent to be filed with the Board of Disciplinary Appeals on this day, will be held in the courtroom of the Supreme Court of Texas, Tom C. Clark Building, 14th and Colorado Streets, Austin, Texas, at 9:00 a.m. on the 25th day of July 2014.

Rebecca (Beth) Stevens

SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS BOARD OF DISCIPLINARY APPEALS INTERNAL PROCEDURAL RULES

SECTION 1	CENEDAL PROVISIONS	
Dula 10	: GENERAL PROVISIONS	
Rule 1.0	1 Definitions	
Rule 1.0	2 General Powers	
Rule 1.0	3 Additional Rules in Disciplinary Matters	
INUIC 1.0	4 Appointment of Pariets	
Rule 1.0	5 Record Retention	
Rule 1.0	o Trial Briefs	- 5
Rule 1.0	/ Service	
Rule 1.0	8 Publication	
Rule 1.0	9 Photocopying Costs	
Rule 1.1	U ADSITACIS	
Rule 1.1	1 Hearing Setting and Notice	
Rule 1 1:	3 Facsimile and Electronic Filing	
Rule 1.1	5 BODA Work Product and Drafts	4
Rule 1.16	S RODA Onlinione	4
Pulo 2 0	ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS	5
And Leas	1 Representing or Counseling Parties in Disciplinary Matters	5
And Lega	al Malpractice Cases	f
Rule 2.02	2 Conjidentiality	
1 \uic 2.0-	T COMMUNICATIONS WITH DODA	-
SECTION S.	CLASSIFICATION APPEALS	6
Rule 3.0	Notice of Appear	6
Nule 3.02	Complaint on Appeal	G
SECTION 4.	AFFEALS FROM EVIDENTIARY PANEL HEARINGS	G
TAULC T.O	Olgrinia, Filling, and Service	C
Rule 4.02	2 Computation of Time	0
Rule 4.03	Record on Appeal	[
Rule 4.04	Time to File Record	[
Rule 4.05	Copies of the Record	8
Rule 4 06	Requisites of Briefs	9
Rule 4 07	Oral Argument	9
Rule 4.07	Oral Argument	. 10
Rule 4.00	Motions Generally	.11
Pulo 4.03	Motions for Extension of Time	11
Dulo 4.10	Decision and Judgment	11
SECTION 5:	Involuntary Dismissal	12
Dulo F 01	PETITIONS TO REVOKE PROBATION.	12
Nule 5.01	miliation and Service	10
Rule 5.02		40
SECTION 6:	COMPULSORY DISCIPLINE MATTERS	10
Rule 6.01	initiation of Proceeding	40
Rule 6.02	NOTICE OF Decision	40
SECTION 1.	RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE MATTERS	12
Rule 7.01	miliation of Proceeding	12
Rule 7.02	Order to Show Cause	12
Rule 7.03	Attorney's Response	40
SECTION 8:	DISTRICT DISABILITY COMMITTEE HEARINGS	12
Rule 8.01	Appointment of District Disability Committee	10
Rule 8.02	Hearing Orger	44
Rule 8.03	Provisions for Physical or Mental Examinations.	14
Rule 8.04	Ability to Compel Attendance	10
Rule 8.05	Respondent's Right to Counsel	15
Rule 8 06	Limited Discovery	15
Rule 8 07	Hearing	15
Rule 8 08	Notice of Decision	16
Rule 8 00	Notice of Decision	16
SECTION	Confidentiality	16
Rule 0.04	9: DISABILITY REINSTATEMENTS	16
Pulo 0.00	Petition for Reinstatement	16
Pulo 0.02	Discovery	17
Rule 9.03	Physical or Mental Examinations	17
Rule 9.04	Judgment	47
SECTION TO.	APPEALS FROM BODA TO THE SUPREME COURT	10
Rule 10.01	Docketing by the Clerk	18
Rule 10.02	2 Appellate Rules to Apply.	10

SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS BOARD OF DISCIPLINARY APPEALS INTERNAL PROCEDURAL RULES

SECTION 1: GENERAL PROVISIONS

Rule 1.01 Definitions

- (a) "BODA" is the Board of Disciplinary Appeals.
- (b) "Chair" is the member elected by BODA to serve as chairperson.
- (c) "Classification" is the determination pursuant to Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure ("TRDP") 2.10 by the Chief Disciplinary Counsel ("CDC") whether a grievance constitutes a "complaint" or an "inquiry."
- (d) "Clerk" is the executive director or other person appointed by BODA to assume all duties normally performed by the clerk of a court.
 - (e) "Executive Director" is the executive director of BODA.
 - (f) "Panel" is any three-member grouping of BODA.
 - (g) "Party" is a complainant, respondent, or the CDC.

Rule 1.02 General Powers

Pursuant to TRDP 7.08J, BODA shall have and exercise all the powers of either a trial court or appellate court, as the case may be, in hearing and determining disciplinary proceedings; except that BODA judgments and orders shall be enforced in accordance with TRDP 15.03.

Rule 1.03 Additional Rules in Disciplinary Matters

Except as varied by these rules and to the extent applicable, the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE ("TRCP"), TEXAS RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE ("TRAP"), and TEXAS RULES OF EVIDENCE ("TRE") apply to all disciplinary matters before BODA, except appeals from classification decisions, which are governed by Section 3 of these Internal Rules.

Rule 1.04 Appointment of Panels

(a) BODA may consider any matter or motion through appointment of a panel, except as specified in subpart (b) of this Rule. The chair may delegate appointment of panels for any BODA action to the executive director. Decisions shall be by a majority vote of the panel; however, any panel member may refer a matter for consideration by BODA sitting *en banc*. Nothing

contained in these rules shall be construed to give a party the right to be heard by BODA sitting *en banc*.

(b) Any disciplinary matter naming a BODA member as respondent shall be considered by BODA sitting *en banc*.

Rule 1.05 Record Retention

Records of appeals from classification decisions shall be retained by the BODA clerk for a period of at least three (3) years from the date of disposition. Records of other disciplinary matters shall be retained for a period of at least five (5) years from the date of final judgment, or for at least one (1) year after the date a suspension or disbarment ends, whichever is later.

Rule 1.06 Trial Briefs

In any disciplinary proceeding before BODA, all trial briefs and memoranda must be filed with the clerk no later than ten (10) days before the hearing, except upon leave of BODA.

Rule 1.07 Service

In any disciplinary proceeding before BODA initiated by service of a petition upon the respondent, service shall be by personal service, certified mail with return receipt requested and delivery restricted to respondent as addressee only, or in any other manner permitted by applicable rule(s) and authorized by BODA that is reasonably calculated under all the circumstances to apprise the respondent of the proceeding and to give him or her reasonable time to appear and answer. The CDC may serve a petition by certified mail itself without the appointment of a private process server. To establish service by certified or registered mail, the return receipt must contain the respondent's signature.

Rule 1.08 Publication

The office of the CDC shall publish these rules as part of the TDRPC and TRDP and notify each respondent in a compulsory discipline, reciprocal discipline, revocation of probation, or disability matter filed with BODA where these rules are available.

Rule 1.09 Photocopying Costs

The clerk of BODA may charge to the requestor a reasonable amount for the reproduction of non-confidential documents filed with BODA. BODA may set a fee for the reproduction of documents. The fee shall include compensation for staff and recovery of actual production costs.

Rule 1.10 Abstracts

BODA may, in its sole discretion, periodically prepare abstracts of inquiries, grievances, or disciplinary proceedings for publication pursuant to Texas Gov't Code § 81.072(b)(3) and Part VI of the TRDP.

Rule 1.11 Hearing Setting and Notice

- (a) **Original Petitions.** For any compulsory case, reciprocal case, revocation of probation, or other matter initiated by the CDC filing a petition with BODA, the CDC may contact the BODA clerk for the next regular available hearing date before filing the original petition. The CDC may then include in the petition a hearing notice specifying the date, time, and place of the hearing. The hearing date must be at least thirty (30) days from the date that the petition is served on the respondent, except in the case of a petition to revoke probation.
- (b) Filing without notice. The CDC may file any matter with BODA without first obtaining a hearing date so long as it thereafter serves notice on the respondent of the date, time, and place of the hearing in accordance with TRCP 21a (or other applicable TRCP) at least thirty (30) days before the hearing date, except in the case of a petition to revoke probation.
- (c) Expedited settings. If a party desires a hearing on a matter on a date other than the next regular available BODA hearing date, the party may request an expedited setting in a written motion setting out the reasons for the request. The expedited hearing setting must be at least thirty (30) days from the date of service of the petition, motion or other pleading, except in the case of a petition to revoke probation. BODA may grant or deny a request for an expedited hearing date in its sole discretion.
- (d) Setting notices. BODA shall notify the parties by first class mail of any hearing date, other than a hearing set on the next regularly available hearing date as noticed in an original petition or motion.
- (e) Announcement docket. Attorneys and parties appearing before BODA shall check in with the BODA clerk in the courtroom immediately prior to the time docket call is scheduled to begin. The chair will call an announcement docket immediately following the call to order of BODA hearings. Attorneys for each party with a matter on the docket shall appear at that time to give their announcement of readiness, a time estimate for the hearing, and any preliminary motions or matters. The chair will set and announce the order of cases to be heard following the docket announcements.

Rule 1.12 Time to Answer

An answer to any matter pending before BODA may be filed at any time prior to the day of the hearing on the merits except where expressly provided otherwise by these rules or the TRDP, or when an answer date has been set by prior order of BODA. BODA may, but is not required to, consider an answer filed the day of the hearing.

Rule 1.13 Facsimile and Electronic Filing

(a) Any document required to be filed with BODA may be filed by facsimile transmission with a copy to the BODA clerk by first class mail. A document filed by facsimile will be considered filed the day it is received if received before 5:00 p.m. on a regular business day. Any document received by facsimile after 5:00 p.m. or received on a weekend or holiday officially observed by the State of Texas will be considered filed the next regular business day.

- (b) Any document required to be filed with BODA may be filed by emailing a copy of the document file to the email address designated by BODA for that purpose with a copy sent to the BODA clerk by first class mail. A document filed by email will be considered filed the day it is received if received before 5:00 p.m. on a regular business day. Any document received by email after 5:00 p.m. or received on a weekend or holiday officially observed by the State of Texas will be considered filed the next regular business day. The date and time of receipt shall be determined by the date and time shown on the BODA clerk's email.
- (c) It is the responsibility of the party filing a document by facsimile or email to obtain the correct telephone number or email address for BODA and confirm that the document was received by BODA in legible form. Any document which is illegible or which cannot be opened as part of an email attachment by BODA will not be considered received or filed. Parties using facsimile or email filing must still comply with TRCP requirements for signatures.
- (d) Papers will not be deemed filed if sent to any individual BODA member or other office or address.

Rule 1.14 Hearing Exhibits

Counsel should provide an original and twelve copies of any document, pleading, exhibit, or other material which the attorney intends to offer or otherwise make available to the BODA members at a hearing and not already filed with BODA prior to the hearing.

Rule 1.15 BODA Work Product and Drafts

Without limiting any exceptions or exemptions from disclosure contained in any other rules or statutes, a document or record of any nature, regardless of electronic or physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, created or produced in connection with or related to BODA's adjudicative decision-making process is not subject to disclosure or discovery. This includes documents prepared by any BODA member, by BODA staff or interns, or any other person acting on behalf of or at the direction of BODA.

Rule 1.16 BODA Opinions

- (a) BODA may render judgment with or without written opinion in any disciplinary matter. In accordance with TRDP 6.06, all written opinions of BODA are open to the public and shall be made available to the public reporting services, print or electronic, for publishing. A majority of the members who participate in considering the disciplinary matter must determine if an opinion will be written. The names of the participating members must be noted on all written opinions of BODA.
- (b) Only a member who participated in the decision of a disciplinary matter may file or join in a written opinion concurring in or dissenting from the judgment of BODA. For purposes of this Rule, in hearings in which evidence is taken, no member may participate in the decision unless that member was present at the hearing. In all other proceedings, no member may participate unless that member has reviewed the record. Any member of BODA may file a written opinion in connection with the denial of a hearing or rehearing en banc.

(c) A BODA determination in an appeal from a grievance classification decision under TRDP 2.10 is not a judgment for purposes of this Rule and may be issued without a written opinion.

SECTION 2: ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Rule 2.01 Representing or Counseling Parties in Disciplinary Matters And Legal Malpractice Cases

- (a) No current member of BODA shall represent a party with respect to any disciplinary action or proceeding. No current member of BODA shall testify voluntarily or offer to testify voluntarily on behalf of a party in any disciplinary action or proceeding.
- (b) No current BODA member may serve as an expert witness providing opinions regarding the TDRPC.
- (c) A BODA member may represent a party in a legal malpractice case, provided that he or she is later recused in accordance with these rules from any proceeding before BODA arising out of the same facts.

Rule 2.02 Confidentiality

- (a) All BODA deliberations are confidential and shall not be disclosed by BODA members or staff. Classification appeals files and disability suspension files are confidential pursuant to the TRDP.
- (b) If subpoenaed or otherwise compelled by law to testify in any proceeding, members of BODA shall not disclose matters discussed in conference concerning any disciplinary case, unless required to do so by a court of competent jurisdiction. If subpoenaed or otherwise compelled to attend any disciplinary proceeding, including depositions, a member of BODA shall promptly notify the chair of BODA and the CDC.

Rule 2.03 Disqualification and Recusal of BODA Members

- (a) BODA members are subject to disqualification and recusal respectively as provided in TRCP 18b.
- (b) BODA members may, in addition to recusals pursuant to (a) above, voluntarily recuse themselves from any discussion and voting for any other reason.
- (c) Nothing in these rules shall impute disqualification to lawyers who are members of or associated with BODA members' firms from serving on grievance committees or representing parties in disciplinary or legal malpractice cases; however, BODA members shall recuse themselves from any matter in which any lawyer who is a member of or associated with a BODA member's firm represents a party in any disciplinary proceeding or before BODA.

Rule 2.04 Communications with BODA

Correspondence or other communications relative to any matter pending before BODA must be conducted with the clerk and shall not be addressed directly to or conducted with any BODA member.

SECTION 3: CLASSIFICATION APPEALS

Rule 3.01 Notice of Appeal

- (a) If the grievance filed by the complainant is not classified as a complaint, the CDC shall notify the complainant of his or her rights to appeal as set out in TRDP 2.10 or other applicable rule.
- (b) To facilitate the potential filing of an appeal, the CDC shall send the complainant an Appeal Notice form with the classification disposition which shall include, but is not limited to, the docket number of the matter, the time deadline for appealing as set out in TRDP 2.10 or other applicable provision, and information for mailing or faxing the Appeal Notice to BODA.

Rule 3.02 Complaint on Appeal

BODA shall review only the original grievance on appeals from classification decisions. The CDC shall forward a copy of the complete grievance to BODA with supporting documentation as originally filed. BODA shall not consider any supplemental information which was not reviewed as part of the original screening and classification decision. Rule 3.03 Notice of Disposition

BODA shall mail complainant, respondent, and the CDC written notice of the decision of the appeal by first class mail to the addresses provided BODA by the CDC in the appeal transmittal.

SECTION 4: APPEALS FROM EVIDENTIARY PANEL HEARINGS

Rule 4.01 Signing, Filing, and Service

- (a) **Signing.** Each brief, motion or other paper filed shall be signed by at least one attorney for the party or by the party *pro se* and shall give the State Bar of Texas identification number, mailing address, telephone number, email address, and telecopier number, if any, of each attorney whose name is signed thereto, or of the party (if applicable).
- (b) **Number of Copies.** Each party shall file an original and two (2) copies of all briefs and motions with the clerk. Only one copy of the clerk's record and reporter's record shall be filed.
- (c) Service. Copies of all papers other than the record filed by any party shall, at or before the time of filing, be served on all other parties as required and authorized by the TRAP.

Rule 4.02 Computation of Time

- (a) **Beginnings of Periods.** The date the chair of the evidentiary panel signs its decision shall constitute the date of notice under TRDP 2.21.
- (b) TRAP Followed. Computation of time for purposes of this section shall follow TRAP 4.1 and 9.2(b).

Rule 4.03 Record on Appeal

- (a) Contents. The record on appeal shall consist of a clerk's record and where necessary to the appeal, a reporter's record.
- (b) Stipulation as to Record. The parties may designate parts of the clerk's record and reporter's record to be included in the record on appeal by written stipulation filed with the custodian of records of the evidentiary panel.
- (c) Responsibility for Filing Record. The custodian of records of the evidentiary panel is responsible for preparing, certifying, and timely filing the clerk's record if a notice of appeal has been filed. The court reporter is responsible for timely filing the reporter's record if a notice of appeal has been filed, the appellant has requested that the reporter's record be prepared, and the party responsible for initiating the appeal has paid the reporter's fee or has made satisfactory arrangements with the reporter. The party initiating the appeal shall pay the cost of preparing the record.

(d) Clerk's Record.

- (1) Unless otherwise stipulated by the parties, the clerk's record on appeal shall include all papers on file with the evidentiary panel, including, but not limited to, the election letter, all pleadings upon which the hearing was held, the docket sheet, the evidentiary panel's charge, the final hearing order with attachments or exhibits, any findings of fact and conclusions of law, all other pleadings, the judgment or other order(s) appealed from, the notice of decision sent each party, any post-submission pleadings and briefs, and any notice of appeal.
- (2) Upon receipt of a copy of the notice of appeal, the custodian of records in the individual CDC office which conducted the evidentiary hearing shall prepare and transmit the clerk's record to BODA If the CDC is unable for any reason to prepare and transmit the clerk's record by the due date, it shall promptly notify BODA and the parties, explain the reason(s) why it cannot be timely filed, and give the date by which it expects the clerk's record can be filed.
- (3) The clerk's record should be in the following form:
 - (i) contain a detailed index identifying each document included in the record, the date of filing, and the page where it first appears;

- (ii) arranged in ascending chronological order by document by date of filing or occurrence;
- (iii) tabbed with heavy index tabs to show the beginning of each document;
- (iv) consecutively numbered in the bottom right-hand corner of the pages;
- (v) bound together so that the record will lie flat when opened; and
- (vi) contain the custodian's certification that the documents contained in the clerk's record are true and correct copies and are all the documents required to be filed.
- (e) Reporter's Record. The appellant, at or before the time prescribed for perfecting the appeal, shall make a written request to the official reporter for the reporter's record, designating the portion of the evidence and other proceedings to be included. A copy of such request shall be filed with the evidentiary panel and BODA and be served on the appellee. The reporter's record shall be certified by the official court reporter.
- (f) Non-Stenographic Recordings. All testimony and evidence may be recorded at the evidentiary hearing by means other than stenographic recording, including videotape recordings; however, the non-stenographic recording shall not dispense with the requirement of a stenographic transcription of the hearing. In appeals to BODA, the non-stenographic recording must be transcribed and the transcription filed as the reporter's record.
- (g) Other Requests. At any time before the clerk's record is prepared or within ten (10) days after service of a copy of appellant's request for the reporter's record, any party may request additional portions of the evidence and other proceedings to be included therein.
- (h) Inaccuracies or Defects. Any inaccuracies in the record may be corrected by an agreement of the parties. Any dispute regarding the reporter's record shall be submitted by BODA to the evidentiary panel for resolution and to conform the reporter's record.

Rule 4.04 Time to File Record

(a) **Timetable**. The clerk's record and reporter's record (including a non-stenographic recording which has been transcribed) shall be filed with the BODA clerk within thirty (30) days after the date the notice of appeal is received by BODA. Failure to file either the clerk's record or the reporter's record within such time shall not affect BODA's jurisdiction, but shall be grounds for BODA exercising its discretion to dismiss the appeal, affirm the judgment appealed from, disregard materials filed late, or to apply presumptions against the appellant.

(b) If No Record Filed.

- (1) If the clerk's record or reporter's record has not been timely filed, the BODA clerk must send notice to the party responsible for filing it, stating that the record is late and requesting that the record be filed within thirty (30) days. The BODA clerk must send a copy of this notice to all the parties and the evidentiary panel.
- (2) If no reporter's record is filed due to appellant's fault, and if the clerk's record has been filed, BODA may, after first giving the appellant notice and reasonable opportunity to cure, consider and decide those issues or points that do not require a reporter's record for a decision. BODA may do this if no reporter's record has been filed because:
 - (i) the appellant failed to request a reporter's record; or
 - (ii)(a) appellant failed to pay or make arrangements to pay the reporter's fee to prepare the reporter's record; and
 - (b) the appellant is not entitled to proceed without payment of costs.
- (c) Supplemental Record. If anything material to either party is omitted from the clerk's record or reporter's record BODA may, upon written motion of a party or upon its own motion, direct a supplemental record to be certified and transmitted by the CDC or the official court reporter.

Rule 4.05 Copies of the Record

The record shall not be withdrawn from the custody of the BODA clerk. Any party may obtain a copy of the record or any designated part thereof by making written request to the clerk and paying copying charges.

Rule 4.06 Requisites of Briefs

- (a) Appellant's Filing Date. Appellant's brief must be filed within thirty (30) days after the later of the date on which the clerk's record or the reporter's record was timely filed.
- (b) **Appellee's Filing Date**. Appellee's brief must be filed within thirty (30) days after the filing of appellant's brief.
 - (c) Contents. Briefs shall contain:
 - (1) a complete list of the names and addresses of all parties to the final decision and their counsel;
 - (2) a table of contents with page references where the discussion of each point relied upon may be found and also an index of authorities alphabetically arranged, together with reference to the pages of the brief where the same are

- cited. The subject matter of each point or group of points shall be indicated in the table of contents;
- (3) a brief general statement of the nature of the cause or offense and the result;
- (4) a statement of the points upon which an appeal is predicated or the issues presented for review;
- (5) a brief of the argument;
- (6) prayer for relief; and,
- (7) an appendix consisting of copies of pertinent parts of the record upon which the party relies.
- (d) Length of Briefs. Briefs shall be typewritten or otherwise legibly printed on letter-size (8½" x 11") paper and shall not exceed fifty (50) pages in length, exclusive of pages containing names and addresses of parties, table of contents, index of authorities, points of error, and any addenda or appendix containing statutes, rules, regulations, etc., except upon leave of BODA.
- (e) Amendment or Supplementation. Briefs may be amended or supplemented upon leave of BODA.
 - (f) Failure to File a Brief. If the appellant fails to timely file a brief, BODA may:
 - (1) dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution, unless the appellant reasonably explains the failure and the appellee is not significantly injured by the appellant's failure to timely file a brief; or
 - (2) decline to dismiss the appeal and give further direction to the case as it considers proper.

Rule 4.07 Oral Argument

- (a) Request. A party desiring oral argument before BODA shall request same in writing and include the request in the notice of appeal or on the front cover of that party's first brief. BODA may grant or deny the request in its sole discretion. If oral argument is granted, the clerk shall notify the parties of the time and place for submission. BODA may also advance cases without oral argument or direct parties on its own initiative to appear and submit oral argument on a case. The parties may agree to submit the case without argument after requesting same.
- (b) Time Allowed. Each party shall have twenty (20) minutes in which to argue. BODA may, upon request of a party or in its discretion, extend or shorten the time allowed for oral argument.

Rule 4.08 Motions Generally

An application for an order or other relief shall be made by filing a motion with the BODA clerk for same supported by sufficient cause with proof of service on all other parties. The motion shall state with particularity the grounds on which it is based and set forth the relief sought. All supporting briefs, affidavits, or other papers shall be served and filed with the motion. A party may file a response to a motion at any time before BODA rules on the motion or by any deadline set by BODA. BODA may determine a motion before a response is filed.

Rule 4.09 Motions for Extension of Time

- (a) When due. Any request for extension of time other than to file a brief must be filed with the BODA clerk no later than fifteen (15) days after the last day allowed for filing the item in question.
- (b) Contents. All motions for extension of time shall be in writing, comply with BODA Internal Procedural Rule 4.08, and specify the following:
 - (1) the date of notice of decision of the evidentiary panel, together with the number and style of the case;
 - (2) if the appeal has been perfected, the date when the appeal was perfected;
 - (3) the original deadline for filing the item in question;
 - (4) the length of time requested for the extension;
 - (5) the number of extensions of time which have been granted previously regarding the item in question; and,
 - (6) the facts relied upon to reasonably explain the need for an extension.
- (c) For Filing Reporter's Record. When an extension of time is requested for filing the reporter's record, the facts relied upon to reasonably explain the need for an extension must be supported by an affidavit of the court reporter, which shall include the court reporter's estimate of the earliest date when the reporter's record will be available for filing.

Rule 4.10 Decision and Judgment

- (a) **Decision.** BODA may affirm in whole or in part the decision of the evidentiary panel, modify the panel's finding(s) and affirm the finding(s) as modified, reverse in whole or in part the panel's finding(s) and render such decision as the panel should have rendered, or reverse the panel's finding(s) and remand the cause for further proceedings to be conducted by:
 - (1) the panel that entered the finding(s); or,

- (2) a statewide grievance committee panel appointed by BODA and composed of members selected from the state bar districts other than the district from which the appeal was taken.
- (b) Notice of Orders and Judgment. When BODA renders judgment or grants or overrules a motion, the clerk shall give notice to the parties or their attorneys of record of the disposition made of the cause or of the motion, as the case may be. The notice shall be given by first-class mail and be marked so as to be returnable to the clerk in case of nondelivery.
- (c) Mandate. In every case where BODA reverses or otherwise modifies the judgment appealed from, BODA shall issue a mandate in accordance with its judgment and deliver it to the evidentiary panel.

Rule 4.11 Involuntary Dismissal

Under the following circumstances and on any party's motion or on its own initiative after giving at least ten days' notice to all parties, BODA may dismiss the appeal or affirm the appealed judgment or order. Dismissal or affirmance may occur if the appeal is subject to dismissal

- (a) for want of jurisdiction;
- (b) for want of prosecution; or
- (c) because the appellant has failed to comply with a requirement of these rules, a court order, or a notice from the clerk requiring a response or other action within a specified time.

SECTION 5: PETITIONS TO REVOKE PROBATION

Rule 5.01 Initiation and Service

- (a) Before filing a motion with BODA seeking to revoke the probation of an attorney who has been sanctioned, the CDC shall contact the BODA clerk to confirm whether the next regular available hearing date will comply with the thirty-day requirement of TRDP. The chair may designate a three-member panel to hear the motion, if necessary, to meet the thirty-day requirement of TRDP 2.23.
- (b) Upon filing of the motion, the CDC shall serve the respondent in accordance with TRDP 2.23 with the motion and supporting documents, if any, in accordance with the TRCP and these rules. The CDC shall notify BODA of the date service is obtained on the respondent.

Rule 5.02 Hearing

Within thirty (30) days of service of the motion on the respondent, BODA shall docket and set the matter for a hearing and notify the parties of the time and place for the hearing; however, upon a showing of good cause by a party or upon its own motion, BODA may continue the case to a future hearing date as circumstances require.

SECTION 6: COMPULSORY DISCIPLINE MATTERS

Rule 6.01 Initiation of Proceeding

Pursuant to TRDP 8.03, the CDC shall file a petition for compulsory discipline with BODA and serve the respondent in accordance with the TRDP and Rule 1.07 above.

Rule 6.02 Notice of Decision

The BODA clerk shall mail a copy of the judgment to the parties within ten (10) days from the date the decision is signed by the chair. Transmittal of the judgment shall include all information required by the TRDP and the Supreme Court.

SECTION 7: RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE MATTERS

Rule 7.01 Initiation of Proceeding

- (a) Pursuant to TRDP 9.01 and 9.02, the CDC shall file a petition for reciprocal discipline with BODA when information is received indicating that an attorney licensed to practice law in Texas has been disciplined in another jurisdiction.
- (b) The petition shall request that the respondent be disciplined in Texas and have attached to it any information concerning the disciplinary matter from the other jurisdiction including a copy of the order or judgment, if any, rendered against the respondent. The CDC shall serve the respondent in accordance with Rule 1.07 above.

Rule 7.02 Order to Show Cause

Upon the filing of the petition with BODA, the chair shall immediately issue a show cause order including a hearing setting notice and forward it to the CDC, who shall serve the order on the respondent. The CDC shall notify BODA of the date service is obtained.

Rule 7.03 Attorney's Response

If, on or before the thirtieth day after service of the show cause order and hearing notice by the CDC, the respondent does not file an answer but thereafter appears at the hearing, BODA may, at the discretion of the chair, receive testimony from the respondent relating to the merits of the petition for reciprocal discipline.

SECTION 8: DISTRICT DISABILITY COMMITTEE HEARINGS

Rule 8.01 Appointment of District Disability Committee

(a) If the evidentiary panel of the grievance committee finds pursuant to TRDP 2.17P(2) or the CDC believes pursuant to TRDP 2.14C that a respondent is suffering from a disability, the rules in this section shall apply to the District Disability Committee *de novo* proceeding held pursuant to TRDP Part XII.

- (b) Upon receiving an evidentiary panel's finding or the CDC's report that an attorney is believed to be suffering from a disability, the BODA chair shall appoint a District Disability Committee in compliance with TRDP 12.02 and designate a chair. The BODA clerk shall notify the CDC and respondent that a committee has been appointed and notify the respondent where the procedural rules governing disability proceedings are available.
- (c) A respondent notified to appear at a District Disability Committee hearing may, at any time, waive that hearing in writing and enter into an agreed judgment of indefinite disability suspension or probated suspension, provided that the respondent is competent to so waive the hearing. If the respondent is not represented, the waiver shall include a statement by the respondent that he has been advised of his right to have counsel appointed for him and that he waives that right.
- (d) All pleadings, motions, briefs, or other matters to be filed with the District Disability Committee shall be filed with the BODA clerk.
- (e) Should any member of the District Disability Committee become unable to serve, the BODA chair may appoint a substitute member.

Rule 8.02 Hearing Order

- (a) Upon being notified that the District Disability Committee has been appointed by BODA, the CDC shall, within twenty (20) days, file with the BODA clerk and then serve upon the respondent either in person or by certified mail, return receipt requested with delivery restricted to the respondent as addressee with a copy by first class mail, a proposed hearing order containing a list of names and addresses of all witnesses expected to be called to testify before the District Disability Committee and all exhibits expected to be offered. If service is by certified mail, the return receipt with the respondent's signature must be filed with the BODA clerk.
- (b) The respondent shall, within twenty (20) days after receiving the CDC's proposed hearing order, file with the BODA clerk and serve the CDC by certified mail a proposed hearing order including a list of names and addresses of all witnesses expected to be called to testify before the District Disability Committee and all exhibits expected to be offered. Respondent's failure to timely file the proposed hearing order will not affect the responsibility of the District Disability Committee to issue a final hearing order.
- (c) The District Disability Committee chair may adopt either the CDC's proposed hearing order, the respondent's proposed hearing order, or an order of his or her own. The BODA clerk shall prepare the final hearing order at the instruction of the District Disability Committee chair and send to the parties by first class mail. The BODA clerk shall set the final hearing date at the instruction of the chair. The adopted order shall be the final hearing order and shall contain a date, time, and place for the hearing. That order may contain provisions requiring a physical or mental examination of the respondent.
- (d) Requests for an extension of time to file the proposed hearing order by either party must be by written motion filed with the BODA clerk.

Rule 8.03 Provisions for Physical or Mental Examinations

- (a) Upon motion by the CDC or upon its own motion, the District Disability Committee may order the respondent to submit to a physical and/or mental examination by a qualified health care or mental health care professional. The respondent shall be given reasonable notice of the examination by written order specifying the name, address, and telephone number of the person conducting the examination. Any objections(s) to the motion for an exam and request for a hearing shall be filed with the BODA clerk within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the motion.
- (b) The examining professional shall file with the BODA clerk his detailed written report setting out findings, including results of all tests made, diagnoses and conclusions, and deliver a copy to the CDC and to the respondent.
- (c) Nothing contained herein shall be construed to limit the respondent's right to an examination by a professional of his choice in addition to any exam ordered by BODA.

Rule 8.04 Ability to Compel Attendance

The respondent and the CDC may, if they so choose, confront and cross-examine witnesses at the hearing. Compulsory process to compel the attendance of witnesses, enforceable by an order of a district court of proper jurisdiction, is available to the respondent and the CDC, by requesting a subpoena be issued as provided in TRCP 176.

Rule 8.05 Respondent's Right to Counsel

- (a) The notice to the respondent that a District Disability Committee has been appointed and the notice transmitting the CDC's proposed hearing order shall state that the respondent may request appointment of counsel by BODA to represent him or her at the disability hearing.
- (b) If the respondent wishes to have counsel appointed pursuant to TRDP Rule 12.02, a written request must be filed with the BODA clerk within sixty (60) days of the date respondent receives the CDC's proposed hearing order. Any request for appointment of counsel after sixty (60) days from the date of receipt of the proposed hearing order must show good cause for the failure to do so timely and that the request is not sought for delay only.

Rule 8.06 Limited Discovery

- (a) In the sole discretion of the District Disability Committee, limited discovery is permissible upon a clear showing of good cause and substantial need. The parties seeking discovery must file with the BODA clerk a verified written request for discovery showing good cause and substantial need with the proposed hearing order.
- (b) If good cause and substantial need are demonstrated, the District Disability Committee shall by written order permit the discovery, including in the final hearing order limitations or deadlines on the discovery. Such discovery, if any, as may be permitted, must be conducted by methods provided in the TRCP in effect at the time and may upon motion be enforced by a district court of proper jurisdiction.

(c) A decision of a District Disability Committee on a discovery matter may be reviewed only on appeal of the entire case. A reversal of the case may not be based upon the granting or denial of a discovery request without a showing of material unfairness or substantial harm.

Rule 8.07 Hearing

- (a) The party seeking to establish the disability must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the respondent is suffering from a disability as defined in the TRDP. The chair of the District Disability Committee shall admit all such probative and relevant evidence as he or she deems necessary for a fair and complete hearing, generally in accord with the TRE; provided, however, that the admission or exclusion of evidence shall be in the sole discretion of the chair. No ruling on evidence shall be a basis for reversal solely because it fails to strictly comply with the TRE.
- (b) Such proceedings shall begin and conclude no earlier than thirty (30) days from the date the respondent receives the CDC's proposed hearing order nor later than ninety (90) days from that date; however, failure to do so does not affect the jurisdiction of the District Disability Committee to act. Nothing herein shall be construed to limit the parties' right to request a continuance of the hearing for good cause.
- (c) If the Committee is unable for any reason to hold a hearing within ninety (90) days of the date the respondent receives the proposed hearing order, BODA may appoint a new committee to handle the case.

Rule 8.08 Notice of Decision

The District Disability Committee shall certify its finding and any recommendations to BODA which shall issue the final judgment in the matter.

Rule 8.09 Confidentiality

All proceedings before the District Disability Committee are closed to the public. All matters before the District Disability Committee are confidential and are not subject to disclosure, except as allowed by the TRDP or as may be required in the event of an appeal to the Supreme Court.

SECTION 9: DISABILITY REINSTATEMENTS

Rule 9.01 Petition for Reinstatement

(a) An attorney under an indefinite disability suspension may, at any time after he or she has been suspended, file a verified petition with BODA to have the suspension terminated and to be reinstated to the practice of law. All such petitions shall be filed with the BODA clerk. The petitioner shall also serve a copy of the petition on the CDC as set forth in TRDP 12.06. After the petition is filed, the TRCP shall apply except when in conflict with these rules. Service shall be in accordance with the TRDP and these rules.

- (b) The petition shall set forth the information required by TRDP 12.06. If the judgment of disability suspension contained terms or conditions relating to misconduct by the petitioner prior to the suspension, the petition shall affirmatively demonstrate that those terms have been complied with or explain why they have not been satisfied. The petitioner has a duty to amend and keep current all information in the petition until the final hearing on the merits. Failure to do so may result in dismissal without notice.
- (c) Disability reinstatement proceedings before BODA are not confidential; however, BODA may seal all or any part of the record of the proceeding.

Rule 9.02 Discovery

The parties shall have sixty (60) days from the date of the filing of the petition for reinstatement in which to conduct discovery. The matter shall be set for a hearing by the BODA clerk on the next available hearing date after the expiration of the sixty (60) days, and the clerk shall so notify the parties of the time and place of the hearing. Nothing contained herein shall preclude either party from requesting a continuance for good cause.

Rule 9.03 Physical or Mental Examinations

- (a) BODA may order the petitioner seeking reinstatement to submit to a physical and/or mental examination by a qualified health care or mental health care professional upon written motion of the CDC or its own motion. The petitioner shall be served with a copy of the motion and given at least seven (7) days to respond. BODA may grant or deny the motion with or without a hearing.
- (b) The petitioner shall be given reasonable notice of the examination by written order specifying the name, address and telephone number of the person conducting the examination.
- (c) The examining professional shall deliver to BODA and the parties a copy of a detailed written report setting out findings, including results of all tests made, diagnoses and conclusions.
- (d) If the petitioner fails to submit to an examination as ordered, BODA may dismiss the petition without notice.
- (e) Nothing contained herein shall be construed to limit the petitioner's right to an examination by a professional of his choice in addition to any exam ordered by BODA.

Rule 9.04 Judgment

If, after hearing all the evidence, BODA determines that the petitioner is not eligible for reinstatement, BODA may, in its discretion, either enter an order denying the petition or direct that the petition be held in abeyance for a reasonable period of time until the petitioner provides additional proof as directed by BODA. The judgment may include such other orders as protecting the public and the petitioner's potential clients may require.

SECTION 10: APPEALS FROM BODA TO THE SUPREME COURT

Rule 10.01 Docketing by the Clerk

- (a) All appeals to the Supreme Court from determinations by BODA on a decision of a District Grievance Committee's evidentiary panel concerning the imposition or failure to impose sanctions, appeals from determinations on compulsory discipline, reciprocal discipline, revocations of probation, and disability suspensions will be docketed by the clerk of the Supreme Court in the same manner as petitions for review.
 - (b) No fee shall be charged by the clerk for filing any appeal from BODA decisions.
- (c) The notice of appeal must be filed directly with the clerk of the Supreme Court within fourteen (14) days after receipt of notice of a final determination by BODA. The record must be filed within sixty (60) days after BODA's determination. The appealing party's brief is due thirty (30) days after the record, and the responding party's brief must be filed within thirty (30) days thereafter.
- (d) The BODA clerk shall include the information contained in subpart (c) above with transmittal of each final determination to the parties.

Rule 10.02 Appellate Rules to Apply

- (a) The TRAP will apply to these appeals to the extent they are relevant. Oral argument may be granted on motion. The case shall be reviewed under the substantial evidence rule. The Court's decisions on sanctions, compulsory discipline, reciprocal discipline, revocations of probation, and disability suspension cases will be announced on the Court's orders. Following review by the Court, these appeals will be available for public inspection in the office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court, unless the file or some portion thereof is confidential under the TRDP.
 - (b) The Court may affirm a decision of BODA by order without written opinion.

UNSEALED * 7-23-13 ** 7-24-13 ** 7-26-13 ** 7-26-13 A 7-29-13	SOUTHERN D	NITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS McALLEN DIVISION		United States in Southern Distriction Dist	rict of Texas ED 2013
v. sostenes ferreira-garcia Aka: FNU LNU 7/22/13 /1c also known as "Sostenes"	,,	00000	riminal No.	M-13-	994
** BALDEMAR MONTAL also known as "Balde" also known as "Calzon" DELFINO BAZAN JOSE JAIR TABARES, also known as "Chiquiline" ENRIQUE MONTALVO	7/24/13 /1c JR. n"		Montalvo		
THOMAS GARCIA, JI **IVAN DEL JESUS CAM *DAVID MUNIZ also known as "Comino" ** JOSE LUIS LOZANO also known as "Guicho" A MARIO ALBERTO MA	IPOS-LANDA	Gar § ia 7 S S S S S S S	/24/13 /1c		
** EDMUNDO CANALES *** SAMUEL ESPINO-MO ** DAVID ULLOA CORRI A ROSENDO BENITEZ *** JOSE PALACIOS, JR. ** JOSE PALACIOS, SR. ** ELEE CAMPOS CAMA also known as "Eli Cama ALEJANDRINA MART	EA 7/26/13: RGO argo"		E: SALOMON ES	SPINOSA-MORIN	

SEALED INDICTMENT

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

Count One

From on or about March 1, 2012 to on or about June 25, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas and elsewhere, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

SOSTENES FERREIRA-GARCIA
Aka: FNU LNU
also known as "Sostenes"

BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR. aka: Baldemar Montalvo
also known as "Balde"
also known as "Calzon"
DELFINO BAZAN
JOSE JAIR TABARES, JR.
also known as "Chiquilin"
ENRIQUE MONTALVO
THOMAS GARCIA, JR. aka: Thomas Garcia
IVAN DEL JESUS CAMPOS-LANDA
MARIO ALBERTO MARTINEZ
EDMUNDO CANALES

SALOMON ESPINOSA-MORINA AKA: SAMUEL ESPINO-MORALES

DAVID ULLOA CORREA ROSENDO BENITEZ JOSE PALACIOS, JR. JOSE PALACIOS, SR.

and ELEE CAMPOS CAMARGO also known as "Eli Camargo"

did knowingly and intentionally conspire and agree together with other person or persons known and unknown to the Grand Jurors, to knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 846, 841(a)(1), and 841(b)(1)(B).

Count Two

From on or about March 1, 2012 to on or about June 25, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas and elsewhere, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR. aka: Baldemar Montalvo also known as "Balde" also known as "Calzon" DELFINO BAZAN JOSE JAIR TABARES, JR. also known as "Chiquilin"

and ALEJANDRINA MARTINEZ also known as "Alex"

did unlawfully, knowingly and intentionally combine, conspire, confederate and agree together with others known and unknown to the grand jury, to knowingly conduct and attempt to conduct a financial transaction affecting interstate and foreign commerce which in fact involved the proceeds of a specified unlawful activity, that is, the distribution of controlled substances, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, knowing that the transaction was designed in whole or in part to conceal or disguise the nature, the location, the source, the ownership, or the control of the proceeds of the specified unlawful activity.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and (h).

Count Three

On or about March 6, 2012, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

BALDEMAR MONTALVO , JR. aka: Baldemar Montalvo also known as "Balde" also known as "Calzon"
THOMAS GARCIA, JR. aka: Thomas Garcia and
IVAN DEL JESUS CAMPOS-LANDA

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 444 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Four

On or about April 27, 2012, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendant,

BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR. aka: Baldemar Montalvo also known as "Balde" also known as "Calzon"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 208 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Five

On or about September 7, 2012, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR. aka: Baldemar Montalvo also known as "Balde" also known as "Calzon" and ENRIQUE MONTALVO

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 50 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 89 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(C), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Six

On or about November 15, 2012, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR. aka: Baldemar Montalvo also known as "Balde" also known as "Calzon" DELFINO BAZAN and DAVID MUNIZ also known as "Comino"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was less than 50 kilograms, that is, approximately 15 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(D), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Seven

On or about November 16, 2012, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

DELFINO BAZAN and JOSE LUIS LOZANO also known as "Guicho"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was less than 50 kilograms, that is, approximately 14 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(D), and

Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Eight

On or about November 18, 2012, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendant,

DELFINO BAZAN

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was less than 50 kilograms, that is, approximately 29 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(D), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Nine

On or about February 5, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendant,

BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR. aka: Baldemar Montalvo also known as "Balde" also known as "Calzon"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 103 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Ten

On or about February 8, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendant,

SOSTENES FERREIRA-GARCIA
Aka: FNU LNU
also known as "Sostenes"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 1,000 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 1,878 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(A), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Eleven

On or about February 19, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

SOSTENES FERREIRA-GARCIA
Aka: FNU LNU
also known as "Sostenes"
MARIO ALBERTO MARTINEZ
and
EDMUNDO CANALES

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 495 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Twelve

On or about February 22, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

SOSTENES FERRERIA-GARCIA
Aka: FNU LNU
also known as "Sostenes"
and

SALOMON ESPINOSA-MORIN AKA: SAMUEL ESPINO-MORALES

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 1,000 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 1,959 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(A), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Thirteen

On or about March 1, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

SOSTENES FERREIRA-GARCIA
Aka: FNU LNU
also known as "Sostenes"
BALDEMAR MONTALVQ JR. aka: Baldemar Montalvo
also known as "Balde"
also known as "Calzon"
and
ENRIQUE MONTALVO

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 817 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and

Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Fourteen

On or about March 1, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

DAVID ULLOA CORREA and ROSENDO BENITEZ

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was more than 100 kilograms, that is, approximately 103 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Fifteen

On or about March 6, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

DELFINO BAZAN
JOSE JAIR TABARES, JR.
also known as "Chiquilin"
JOSE PALACIOS, JR.
JOSE PALACIOS, SR.
and
ELEE CAMPOS CAMARGO
also known as "Eli Camargo"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 425 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

• 8

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Sixteen

On or about March 20, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendant,

SOSTENES FERRERIA-GARCIA Aka:FNU LNU also known as "Sostenes"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 1,000 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 1,210 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(A), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

NOTICE OF FORFEITURE

(Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841, 846, and 853)

- 1. The allegations contained in Counts 1 and 3-16 of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeitures pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853.
- 2. Pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853, upon conviction of an offense in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841 or 846, defendants,

SOSTENES FERREIRA-GARCIA
Aka: FNU LNU
also known as "Sostenes"
BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR. aka: Baldemar Montalvo
also known as "Balde"
also known as "Calzon"
DELFINO BAZAN
JOSE JAIR TABARES, JR.

also known as "Chiquilin"
ENRIQUE MONTALVO
THOMAS GARCIA, JR. aka: Thomas Garcia
IVAN DEL JESUS CAMPOS-LANDA
MARIO ALBERTO MARTINEZ
EDMUNDO CANALES
SAMUEL ESPINO-MORALES
DAVID ULLOA CORREA
ROSENDO BENITEZ
JOSE PALACIOS, JR.
JOSE PALACIOS, SR.
and
ELEE CAMPOS CAMARGO
also known as "Eli Camargo"

shall forfeit to the United States any property constituting, or derived from, any proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of such offense[s] and any property used, or intended to be used, in any manner or part, to commit, or to facilitate the commission of the offense[s].

NOTICE OF FORFEITURE

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982 and 1956(h))

- 1. The allegations contained in Count 2 of this Indictment is hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeitures pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1).
- 2. Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1), upon conviction of an offense in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h), the defendants,

BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR. Aka: Baldemar Montalvo also known as "Balde" also known as "Calzon" DELFINO BAZAN JOSE JAIR TABARES, JR. also known as "Chiquilin" and ALEJANDRINA MARTINEZ also known as "Alex"

shall forfeit to the United States of America any property, real or personal, involved in such offense, and any property traceable to such property.

SUBSTITUTE ASSESTS

If any property subject to forfeiture by Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(a) or Title 18, United States Code, Section 982, as a result of any act or omission of a defendant:

- (1) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- (2) has been transferred or sold to a third person or entity;
- (3) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
- (4) has been substantially diminished in value; or
- (5) has been commingled with other property which cannot be subdivided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek the forfeiture of any and all other property of said defendants as substitute assets for the property whose forfeiture has been impeded for reasons (1), (2), (3), (4), or (5) described above.

A TRUE BILL

FOREPERSON

KENNETH MAGIDSON

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

TRUE COPY I CERTIFY

DAVID I BRADLEY Clerk

discourse Class

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS McALLEN DIVISION

8

§

§

S

§

§

§

8

§

§

§

§

§

8

8

8

§

8

§

S

§

§

§

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

AUG 2 0 2013

David J. Bradley, Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

Criminal No. M-13-0994-S1

SOSTENES FERREIRA-GARCIA also known as "Sostenes" BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR. also known as "Baldemar Montalvo" also known as "Balde" also known as "Calzon" **DELFINO BAZAN** JOSE JAIR TABARES, JR. also known as "Chiquilin" ENRIQUE MONTALVO THOMAS GARCIA, JR. also known as "Thomas Garcia" IVAN DEL JESUS CAMPOS-LANDA DAVID MUNIZ also known as "Comino" JOSE LUIS LOZANO also known as "Guicho" MARIO ALBERTO MARTINEZ EDMUNDO CANALES SALOMON ESPINOZA-MORIN also known as Samuel Espino-Morales DAVID ULLOA CORREA ROSENDO BENITEZ JOSE PALACIOS, JR. JOSE PALACIOS, SR. ELEE CAMPOS CAMARGO also known as "Eli Camargo" ALEJANDRINA MARTINEZ also known as "Alex" JORGE LUIS MARTINEZ-MORENO also known as "Paco" HOMERO DANIEL GUTIERREZ-AGUILAR

also known as "Negro"

JOSE FELIPE RAMON

SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

Count One

From on or about March 1, 2012 to on or about June 25, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas and elsewhere, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

SOSTENES FERREIRA-GARCIA also known as "Sostenes" BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR. also known as "Baldemar Montalyo" also known as "Balde" also known as "Calzon" **DELFINO BAZAN** JOSE JAIR TABARES, JR. also known as "Chiquilin" **ENRIQUE MONTALVO** THOMAS GARCIA, JR. also known as "Thomas Garcia" IVAN DEL JESUS CAMPOS-LANDA MARIO ALBERTO MARTINEZ EDMUNDO CANALES SALOMON ESPINOZA-MORIN also known as Samuel Espino-Morales DAVID ULLOA CORREA ROSENDO BENITEZ JOSE PALACIOS, JR. JOSE PALACIOS, SR. ELEE CAMPOS CAMARGO also known as "Eli Camargo" JORGE LUIS MARTINEZ-MORENO also known as "Paco" HOMERO DANIEL GUTIERREZ-AGUILAR also known as "Negro" and JOSE FELIPE RAMON

did knowingly and intentionally conspire and agree together and with other person or persons known and unknown to the Grand Jurors, to knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more

of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 846, 841(a)(1), and 841(b)(1)(B).

Count Two

From on or about March 1, 2012 to on or about July 22, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas and elsewhere, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR.
also known as "Baldemar Montalvo"
also known as "Balde"
also known as "Calzon"
DELFINO BAZAN
JOSE JAIR TABARES, JR.
also known as "Chiquilin"
and
ALEJANDRINA MARTINEZ
also known as "Alex"

did unlawfully, knowingly and intentionally combine, conspire, confederate and agree together and with others known and unknown to the grand jury, to knowingly conduct and attempt to conduct a financial transaction affecting interstate and foreign commerce which in fact involved the proceeds of a specified unlawful activity, that is, the distribution of controlled substances, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, knowing that the transaction was designed in whole or in part to conceal or disguise the nature, the location, the source, the ownership, or the control of the proceeds of the specified unlawful activity.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and (h).

Count Three

On or about March 6, 2012, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR.
also known as "Baldemar Montalvo"
also known as "Balde"
also known as "Calzon"
THOMAS GARCIA, JR.
also known as "Thomas Garcia"
and
IVAN DEL JESUS CAMPOS-LANDA

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 444 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Four

On or about April 27, 2012, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendant,

BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR. also known as "Baldemar Montalvo" also known as "Balde" also known as "Calzon"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 208 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Five

On or about September 7, 2012, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR.
also known as "Baldemar Montalvo"
also known as "Balde"
also known as "Calzon"
and
ENRIQUE MONTALVO

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 50 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 89 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(C), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Six

On or about November 15, 2012, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR.
also known as "Baldemar Montalvo"
also known as "Balde"
also known as "Calzon"
DELFINO BAZAN
and
DAVID MUNIZ
also known as "Comino"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was less than 50 kilograms, that is, approximately 15 kilograms of

a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(D), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Seven

On or about November 16, 2012, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

DELFINO BAZAN and JOSE LUIS LOZANO also known as "Guicho"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was less than 50 kilograms, that is, approximately 14 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(D), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Eight

On or about November 18, 2012, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendant,

DELFINO BAZAN

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was less than 50 kilograms, that is, approximately 29 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(D), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Nine

On or about February 5, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendant,

BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR. also known as "Baldemar Montalvo" also known as "Balde" also known as "Calzon"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 103 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Ten

On or about February 8, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

SOSTENES FERREIRA-GARCIA
also known as "Sostenes"
JORGE LUIS MARTINEZ-MORENO
also known as "Paco"
and
HOMERO DANIEL GUTIERREZ-AGUILAR
also known as "Negro"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 1,000 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 1,878

kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(A), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Eleven

On or about February 19, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

SOSTENES FERREIRA-GARCIA
also known as "Sostenes"
MARIO ALBERTO MARTINEZ
EDMUNDO CANALES
JORGE LUIS MARTINEZ-MORENO
also known as "Paco"
and
HOMERO DANIEL GUTIERREZ-AGUILAR
also known as "Negro"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 495 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Twelve

On or about February 22, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

SOSTENES FERREIRA-GARCIA also known as "Sostenes"

SALOMON ESPINOZA-MORIN
also known as Samuel Espino-Morales
JORGE LUIS MARTINEZ-MORENO
also known as "Paco"
and
HOMERO DANIEL GUTIERREZ-AGUILAR
also known as "Negro"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 1,000 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 1,959 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(A), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Thirteen

On or about March 1, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

SOSTENES FERREIRA-GARCIA
also known as "Sostenes"
BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR.
also known as "Baldemar Montalvo"
also known as "Balde"
also known as "Calzon"
ENRIQUE MONTALVO
JORGE LUIS MARTINEZ-MORENO
also known as "Paco"
and
HOMERO DANIEL GUTIERREZ-AGUILAR
also known as "Negro"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 817 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Fourteen

On or about March 1, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

DAVID ULLOA CORREA and ROSENDO BENITEZ

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was more than 100 kilograms, that is, approximately 103 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Fifteen

On or about March 6, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

DELFINO BAZAN
JOSE JAIR TABARES, JR.
also known as "Chiquilin"
JOSE PALACIOS, JR.
JOSE PALACIOS, SR.
and
ELEE CAMPOS CAMARGO
also known as "Eli Camargo"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 425 kilograms

of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Sixteen

On or about March 20, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

SOSTENES FERREIRA-GARCIA
also known as "Sostenes"
JORGE LUIS MARTINEZ-MORENO
also known as "Paco"
and
HOMERO DANIEL GUTIERREZ-AGUILAR
also known as "Negro"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 1,000 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 1,210 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(A), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Seventeen

On or about March 27, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

SOSTENES FERREIRA-GARCIA
also known as "Sostenes",
JORGE LUIS MARTINEZ-MORENO
also known as "Paco"
and

HOMERO DANIEL GUTIERREZ-AGUILAR also known as "Negro"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 577 kilograms

of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Eighteen

On or about March 28, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

SOSTENES FERREIRA-GARCIA
also known as "Sostenes"
JORGE LUIS MARTINEZ-MORENO
also known as "Paco"
and
HOMERO DANIEL GUTIERREZ-AGUILAR
also known as "Negro"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 686 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Nineteen

On or about May 16, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

SOSTENES FERREIRA-GARCIA
also known as "Sostenes"
JORGE LUIS MARTINEZ-MORENO
also known as "Paco"
and
HOMERO DANIEL GUTIERREZ-AGUILAR
also known as "Negro"

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 603 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Twenty

On or about May 29, 2013, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendants,

SOSTENES FERREIRA-GARCIA
also known as "Sostenes"
JORGE LUIS MARTINEZ-MORENO
also known as "Paco"
and
JOSE FELIPE RAMON

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 562 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Count Twenty-One

On or about December 11, 2012, in the Southern District of Texas, and within the jurisdiction of the Court, defendant,

ROSENDO BENITEZ

did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance. The controlled substance involved was 100 kilograms or more, that is, approximately 360 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

NOTICE OF FORFEITURE

(Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841, 846, and 853)

- 1. The allegations contained in Counts 1 and 3-16 of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeitures pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853.
- 2. Pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853, upon conviction of an offense in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841 or 846, defendants,

SOSTENES FERREIRA-GARCIA
also known as "Sostenes"
BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR.
also known as "Baldemar Montalvo"
also known as "Balde"
also known as "Calzon"
DELFINO BAZAN
JOSE JAIR TABARES, JR.

also known as "Chiquilin" **ENRIQUE MONTALVO** THOMAS GARCIA, JR. also known as "Thomas Garcia" IVAN DEL JESUS CAMPOS-LANDA MARIO ALBERTO MARTINEZ EDMUNDO CANALES SALOMON ESPINOZA-MORIN also known as Samuel Espino-Morales DAVID ULLOA CORREA ROSENDO BENITEZ JOSE PALACIOS, JR. JOSE PALACIOS, SR. ELEE CAMPOS CAMARGO also known as "Eli Camargo" JORGE LUIS MARTINEZ-MORENO also known as "Paco" HOMERO DANIEL GUTIERREZ-AGUILAR also known as "Negro" and JOSE FELIPE RAMON

shall forfeit to the United States any property constituting, or derived from, any proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of such offense[s] and any property used, or intended to be used, in any manner or part, to commit, or to facilitate the commission of the offense[s].

NOTICE OF FORFEITURE

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982 and 1956(h))

- 1. The allegation contained in Count 2 of this Indictment is hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeitures pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1).
- 2. Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1), upon conviction of an offense in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h), the defendants,

BALDEMAR MONTALVO, JR. also known as "Baldemar Montalvo" also known as "Balde" also known as "Calzon" DELFINO BAZAN

JOSE JAIR TABARES, JR. also known as "Chiquilin" and ALEJANDRINA MARTINEZ also known as "Alex"

shall forfeit to the United States of America any property, real or personal, involved in such offense, and any property traceable to such property.

SUBSTITUTE ASSESTS

If any property subject to forfeiture by Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(a) or Title 18, United States Code, Section 982, as a result of any act or omission of a defendant:

- (1) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- (2) has been transferred or sold to a third person or entity;
- (3) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
- (4) has been substantially diminished in value; or
- has been commingled with other property which cannot be subdivided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek the forfeiture of any and all other property of said defendants as substitute assets for the property whose forfeiture has been impeded for reasons (1), (2), (3), (4), or (5) described above.

FOREPERSON

A TRUE BILL

KENNETH MAGIDSON UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

TRUE COPY I CERTIFY

ATTEST: DAVID J. BRADLITY Clark of Co

Deputy Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS McALLEN DIVISION

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

OCT 0 1 2013

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

§

David J. Bradley, Clerk

V.

8888

Criminal No. M-13-0994-S1-15

JOSE PALACIOS, JR.

NOTICE OF PLEA AGREEMENT

COMES NOW the United States of America, hereinafter referred to as "the Government," by and through its United States Attorney for the Southern District of Texas and its Assistant United States Attorney assigned to this matter, and would respectfully show the Court that the Government and the Defendant have entered into the following plea agreement:

- 1. Defendant agrees to plead guilty to Count 15 of the Superseding Indictment.
- The Government will recommend:
 - a. that the offense level decrease by 2 levels pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(a) if the defendant clearly demonstrates acceptance of responsibility; and
 - b. that the original indictment and the remaining count of the superseding indictment be dismissed at the time of sentencing.

If the Defendant is not a citizen of the United States of America, a plea of guilty may result in deportation, exclusion from admission into the United States of America, or the denial of naturalization.

This document states the complete and only Plea Agreement between the United States of America and the Defendant, and is binding only on the parties to this Agreement, and it supersedes all prior understandings, if any, whether written or oral, and cannot be modified other than in writing and signed by all parties or on the record in Court. No other promises or inducements have been or will be made to the Defendant in connection with this case, nor have any promises or threats been made in connection with this plea.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

I have read this agreement and carefully reviewed every part of it with my attorney. If I have difficulty understanding the English language, I have had a person fluent in the Spanish language interpret this agreement to me.

Date: 31 13

Defendant:

I am the Defendant's counsel. I have carefully reviewed every part of this agreement with the Defendant. I certify that this agreement has been translated to my client by a person fluent in the Spanish language if my client is unable to read or has difficulty understanding the English language.

Date: 3 51 13

Counsel for Defense

For the United States of America:

KENNETH MAGIDSON United States Attorney

Juan F. Alanis

Assistant United States Attorney

APPROVED BY:

Jon Muschenheim Assistant Deputy Chief

South Texas OCDETF

TRUE CORY I CERTIFY

ATTIMETED AND BRADLEY Oferk of Court

Leputy Clerk

AO 245B >

United States District Court

Southern District of Texas Holding Session in McAllen

United States of America v.

JUDGMENT IN A CRIMINAL CASE

Exhibit

USM NUMBER: 48380-379

JOSE PALACIOS, JR

CASE NUMBER: 7:13CR00994-S1-015

☐ See Additional Aliases.		Carlos A. Garcia		
THE DEFENDANT	`:	Defendant's Attorney		
	count(s)			
Γhe defendant is adjudica	ted guilty of these offenses:			
Title & Section 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(B) and 18 U.S.C. § 2	Nature of Offense Possession, with intent to distribute, 100 k	cilograms or more of marijuana.	Offense Ended 03/06/2013	Count 15
The defendant is se	entenced as provided in pages 2 through	a <u>5</u> of this judgment. The sente	ence is imposed pursua	nt to
☐ The defendant has	been found not guilty on count(s)			
X Count(s) 1 and the o	riginal Indictment, as to this defendant,	is 🗵 are dismissed on the	motion of the United St	tates.
esidence, or mailing addr	defendant must notify the United States atteress until all fines, restitution, costs, and speant must notify the court and United States	ecial assessments imposed by this	judgment are fully paid.	
Allesi	Y I CERTIFY RADERY Clerk of Court Weputy Clerk	March 6, 2014 Date of Imposition of Judgme Signature of Judge RANDY CRANE UNITED STATES DISTRIC Name and Title of Judge March 19, 2014		
**		Date		

Judgment -- Page 2 of 5

DEFENDANT: JOSE PALACIOS, JR CASE NUMBER: 7:13CR00994-S1-015

IMPRISONMENT

	The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a
ota	term of 144 months.
	See Additional Imprisonment Terms. The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons: That the defendant be placed in an institution as close as possible to his family in San Antonio, Texas, and where he can receive drug and/or alcohol abuse treatment and/or counseling.
X	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.
	The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at a.m. p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal.
	The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on
	RETURN
ha	ve executed this judgment as follows:
	Defendant delivered on to, with a certified copy of this judgment.
	UNITED STATES MARSHAL
	By

Judgment -- Page 3 of 5

DEFENDANT: JOSE PALACIOS, JR CASE NUMBER: 7:13CR00994-S1-015

permission of the court; and

defendant's compliance with such notification requirement.

SUPERVISED RELEASE

Up	on release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be on supervised release for a term of: 5 years.				
	See Additional Supervised Release Terms.				
cus	The defendant must report to the probation office in the district to which the defendant is released within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons.				
The defendant shall not commit another federal, state or local crime.					
sub	defendant shall not unlawfully possess a controlled substance. The defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled stance. The defendant shall submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests reafter, as determined by the court. (for offenses committed on or after September 13, 1994)				
	☐ The above drug testing condition is suspended, based on the court's determination that the defendant poses a low risk of future substance abuse. (Check, if applicable.)				
X	The defendant shall not possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or any other dangerous weapon. (Check, if applicable.)				
X	The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer. (Check, if applicable.)				
	The defendant shall comply with the requirements of the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (42 U.S.C. § 16901, et seq.) as directed by the probation officer, the Bureau of Prisons, or any state registration in which he or she resides, works, is a student, or was convicted of a qualifying offense. (Check, if applicable)				
	The defendant shall participate in an approved program for domestic violence. (Check, if applicable.)				
with	If this judgment imposes a fine or restitution, it is a condition of supervised release that the defendant pay in accordance with the Schedule of Payments sheet of this judgment.				
on t	The defendant must comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court as well as with any additional conditions the attached page.				
	STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION				
	See Special Conditions of Supervision.				
1)	the defendant shall not leave the judicial district without the permission of the court or probation officer;				
2)	the defendant shall report to the probation officer and shall submit a truthful and complete written report within the first five days of each month;				
3)	the defendant shall answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer and follow the instructions of the probation officer;				
4)	the defendant shall support his or her dependents and meet other family responsibilities;				
5)	the defendant shall work regularly at a lawful occupation, unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, or other acceptable reasons;				
6)	the defendant shall notify the probation officer at least ten days prior to any change in residence or employment;				
7)	the defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any controlled substance or any paraphernalia related to any controlled substances, except as prescribed by a physician;				
8)	the defendant shall not frequent places where controlled substances are illegally sold, used, distributed, or administered;				
9)	the defendant shall not associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony, unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer;				
10)	the defendant shall permit a probation officer to visit him or her at any time at home or elsewhere and shall permit confiscation of any contraband observed in plain view of the probation officer;				
11)	the defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer;				
12)	the defendant shall not enter into any agreement to act as an informer or a special agent of a law enforcement agency without the				

13) as directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by the defendant's criminal record or personal history or characteristics and shall permit the probation officer to make such notifications and to confirm the

Sheet 5 -- Criminal Monetary Penalities

after September 13, 1994, but before April 23, 1996.

Judgment -- Page 4 of 5

DEFENDANT: JOSE PALACIOS, JR CASE NUMBER: 7:13CR00994-S1-015

CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES

	The defendant must pay the total criminal	5 \$			
то	TALS Assessme	<u>nt</u>	Fine	Restituti	<u>on</u>
	See Additional Terms for Criminal Monetary Penal	ties.			
	The determination of restitution is deferred will be entered after such determination.	d until	An A	mended Judgment in a Crimin	al Case (AO 245C)
	The defendant must make restitution (including community restitution) to the following payees in the amount listed below.				
	If the defendant makes a partial payment, each payee shall receive an approximately proportioned payment, unless specified otherwise in the priority order or percentage payment column below. However, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3664(i), all nonfederal payees must be paid before the United States is paid.				
Name of Payee			Total Loss*	Restitution Ordered	Priority or Percentage
	See Additional Restitution Payees. TALS		\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Restitution amount ordered pursuant to pl	ea agreement \$		<u> </u>	
	The defendant must pay interest on restitution and a fine of more than \$2,500, unless the restitution or fine is paid in full before the fifteenth day after the date of the judgment, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3612(f). All of the payment options on Sheet 6 may be subject to penalties for delinquency and default, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3612(g).				
	The court determined that the defendant does not have the ability to pay interest and it is ordered that:				
	☐ the interest requirement is waived for the ☐ fine ☐ restitution.				
	\square the interest requirement for the \square f	ine restitution is mod	dified as follows:		
	Based on the Government's motion, the Court finds that reasonable efforts to collect the special assessment are not likely to be effective. Therefore, the assessment is hereby remitted.				
* F	* Findings for the total amount of losses are required under Chapters 109A, 110, 110A, and 113A of Title 18 for offenses committed on or				

Judgment -- Page 5 of 5

DEFENDANT: JOSE PALACIOS, JR CASE NUMBER: 7:13CR00994-S1-015

SCHEDULE OF PAYMENTS

	_	assessed the defendant's ability to pay, payr			lows:
A		Lump sum payment of \$100.00		nance due	
		in accordance with \square C, \square D, \square	E, or F below; or		
В	☐ Payment to begin immediately (may be combined with ☐ C, ☐ D, or ☐ F below); or				
C		Payment in equal installment after the date of this judgment; or	nts of	over a period of	, to commence days
D		Payment in equal installmer after release from imprisonment to a term	nts of of supervision; or	over a period of	, to commence days
Е	Payment during the term of supervised release will commence within days after release from imprisonment. The court will set the payment plan based on an assessment of the defendant's ability to pay at that time; or				
F	X	Special instructions regarding the payment	t of criminal monetary	penalties:	
		Payable to: Clerk, U.S. District Court Attn: Finance P.O. Box 5059 McAllen, TX 78502			
dur	ing i	he court has expressly ordered otherwise, it imprisonment. All criminal monetary penalt ibility Program, are made to the clerk of th	ies, except those payme		
The	e defe	endant shall receive credit for all payments	previously made towar	d any criminal monetary penalties	imposed.
	Joir	at and Several			
De	fenda	imber ant and Co-Defendant Names ng defendant number)	Total Amount	Joint and Several Amount	Corresponding Payee, if appropriate
	See	Additional Defendants and Co-Defendants Held Joint	and Several.		
	The defendant shall pay the cost of prosecution.				
	The defendant shall pay the following court cost(s):				
	The defendant shall forfeit the defendant's interest in the following property to the United States:				
	See Additional Forfeited Property.				

Payments shall be applied in the following order: (1) assessment, (2) restitution principal, (3) restitution interest, (4) fine principal, (5) fine interest, (6) community restitution, (7) penalties, and (8) costs, including cost of prosecution and court costs.

AFFIDAVIT

THE STATE OF TEXAS

8

COUNTY OF TRAVIS

8

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Rebecca (Beth) Stevens, Petitioner's attorney of record, who, being by me duly sworn, deposed as follows:

"My name is Rebecca (Beth) Stevens. I am over the age of 18 years, of sound mind, capable of making this affidavit, and state the following:

Based upon information and belief, Jose Luis Palacios, whose Texas Bar Card Number is 24043858, is licensed as an attorney and counselor at law in the State of Texas. Based upon information and belief, Jose Luis Palacios named as Respondent in the Petition for Compulsory Discipline filed with the Board of Disciplinary Appeals, is one and the same person as the Jose Palacios, Jr., who is the subject of the Judgment in a Criminal Case entered in Case No. 7:13CR00994-S1-015, styled United States of America v. Jose Palacios, Jr., in the United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, Holding Session in McAllen, wherein Respondent pled guilty to Count 15 - Possession, with intent to distribute, 100 kilograms or more of marijuana and was committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 144 months, ordered upon release from imprisonment to be on supervised release for a term of 5 years and further ordered to pay an assessment in the amount of \$100.00."

FURTHER Affiant saith not.

Rebecca (Beth) Stevens

SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED before me on the day of

2014.

SHELLY M HOGUE Votary Public, State of Texas My Commission Expires October 05, 2014

THE STATE OF TEXAS